

Docket No.: 95-469



PATENT

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of:

TURNER et al.

Serial No.: 09/955,129

Filed: September 19, 2001

: **EXPEDITED PROCEDURE UNDER**  
: **37 CFR §1.116**  
:  
: Group Art Unit: 2674  
:  
: Examiner: WU, Xiao Min

For: ARRANGEMENT FOR ARBITRATION OF DISPLAY INFORMATION FROM  
MULTIPLE APPLICATIONS FOR A NETWORK ENABLED DISPLAY DEVICE

**RESPONSE AFTER FINAL**

**MAIL STOP AF**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

*please enter  
4-16-06  
XW*

Sir:

In response to the Final Action mailed February 7, 2006, Applicant hereby submits the following remarks.

Reconsideration and allowance of the above-referenced application are respectfully requested. Claims 1-48 are unchanged and remain pending in the application.

Claims 1-11, 13-23, 25-35, and 37-47 stand rejected under 35 USC §103 in view of U.S. Patent Publication 2003/0026244 by Pietrowicz et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,275,226 to Uchida et al. and U.S. Patent No. 5,712,995 to Cohn. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

As described in detail below, the §103 rejection is improper because it fails to provide any evidence that establishes that one skilled in the art would have been motivated to modify the primary reference (Pietrowicz et al), to include the teachings of the second and third references (Uchida et

Response After Final filed April 5, 2006  
Appln. No. 09/955,129  
Page 1